

BEFORE THE
BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Donald Scott Paradise
4982 Brookfield Road
Lee Center, NY 13363

Registered Nurse License No. 697019
Respondent.

Case No. 2009-236

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on **February 10, 2010.**

IT IS SO ORDERED this **February 10, 2010.**



President
Board of Registered Nursing
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California

1 EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
Attorney General of California
2 JAMES M. LEDAKIS
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 CARL W. SONNE
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 116253
110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
5 San Diego, CA 92101
P.O. Box 85266
6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 645-3164
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
Attorneys for Complainant

8
9 **BEFORE THE**
BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 2009-236

13 **DONALD SCOTT PARADISE**
14 **4982 Brookfield Road**
15 **Lee Center, CA 13363**

**STIPULATED SURRENDER OF
LICENSE AND ORDER**

16 **Registered Nurse License No. 697019**

17 Respondent.

18
19 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties in this
20 proceeding that the following matters are true:

21 PARTIES

22 1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) is the Interim Executive Officer of the
23 Board of Registered Nursing. She brings this action solely in her official capacity and is
24 represented in this matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California,
25 by Carl W. Sonne, Deputy Attorney General.

26 2. Donald Scott Paradise (Respondent) is representing himself in this proceeding and
27 has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.
28

ACCEPTANCE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Registered Nurse License. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Registered Nursing.

DATED: 11/11/09



DONALD SCOTT PARADISE
Respondent


ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Registered Nursing of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: November 10, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
Attorney General of California
JAMES M. LEDAKIS
Supervising Deputy Attorney General



CARL W. SONNE
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

SD2008802999
Stipulation.rtf

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 2009-236

1 EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General
of the State of California
2 JAMES LEDAKIS,
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 CARL SONNE, State Bar No. 116253
Deputy Attorney General
4 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
San Diego, CA 92101
5
6 P.O. Box 85266
San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 645-2073
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8 Attorneys for Complainant

9
10 **BEFORE THE**
BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. **2009-236**

13 **DONALD SCOTT PARADISE**
2119 Ridge Drive
14 Valatie, NY 12184

ACCUSATION

15 Registered Nurse License No. 697019

16 Respondent.

17
18 Complainant alleges:

19 **PARTIES**

20 1. Ruth Ann Terry, M.P.H., R.N. ("Complainant") brings this Accusation
21 solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing
22 ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.

23 2. On or about February 8, 2007, the Board issued Registered Nurse License
24 Number 697019 to Donald Scott Paradise ("Respondent"). Respondent's registered nurse
25 license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and expired
26 on January 31, 2009.

27 ///

28 ///

1 This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a
2 manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist,
3 optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse
practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly
labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer . . .

4 8. Health and Safety Code (H & S Code) section 11170 states that no person
5 shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself.

6 9. H & S Code section 11173, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent
7 part, that "[n]o person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or
8 attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud,
9 deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge . . ."

10 COST RECOVERY

11 10. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request
12 the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or
13 violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation
14 and enforcement of the case.

15 CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES AT ISSUE

16 11. "Hydromorphone" (Dilaudid) is a Schedule II controlled substance as
17 designated by Health and Saf. Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(K).

18 12. "Lorazepam" is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by
19 Health and Saf. Code section 11055(b)(1)(m).

20 13. "Meperidine" is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by
21 Health and Saf. Code section 11055(b)(1)(m).

22 14. "Midazolam" is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by
23 Health and Saf. Code section 11055(b)(1)(m)

24 15. "Morphine" is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health
25 and Saf. Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M).

26 16. "Norco" is a combination drug containing hydrocodone bitartrate and
27 acetaminophen and is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Saf. Code
28

1 section 11056, subdivision (e)(4).

2 17. "Zofran," a brand name for Ondansetron, is a dangerous drug within the
3 meaning of Code section 4022, in that it requires a prescription under federal law.

4 18. "Temazepam" is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by
5 Health and Safety Code Section section 11057(d)(29), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to
6 Business and Professions Code section 4022. Temazepam is a central nervous depressant used
7 to treat insomnia and sleep disorders.

8 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

9 **(Diversion and Possession of Controlled Substances)**

10 19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section
11 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined by Code section
12 2762, subdivision (a), in that on or about and between February 15, 2007 and September 17,
13 2007, while on duty as a registered nurse in four different hospitals¹, Respondent did the
14 following:

15 **Diversion of Controlled Substances:**

16 a. Respondent obtained the controlled substances Hydromorphone (Dilaudid),
17 Lorazepam, Meperidine, Midazolam, Morphine, Norco, Ondasetron and Temazepam by fraud,
18 deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge, in violation of H & S Code section 11173, subdivision
19 (a), as follows: On or about and between February 15, 2007 and September 16, 2007,
20 Respondent removed varying quantities of Hydromorphone (Dilaudid), Lorazepam, Meperidine,
21 Midazolam, Morphine, Norco, Ondasetron and Temazepam under the names of multiple patients

22 ///
23 ///
24 ///
25 ///

26
27

28 1. From February 15, 2007 to September 16, 2007, Respondent was employed by MedStaff Health Care Solutions, a nurse registry that assigns nurses to different hospitals.

1 from the Pyxis² and AcuDose Rx³ when the quantities of the medications removed were in
2 excess of the doses ordered by the patients' physicians or Respondent was not assigned to care
3 for the patients. Further, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage or otherwise
4 account for the disposition of in the Medication Administration Record ("MAR") or Nurse's
5 Notes/Flowsheet ("NNF") to conceal his diversion of the medications, as more particularly set
6 forth in paragraph 20 below.

7 **Possession of Controlled Substances:**

8 b. On the dates indicated above, Respondent possessed unknown quantities
9 of the controlled substances without a valid prescription from a physician, dentist, podiatrist,
10 optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor, in violation of Code section 4060.

11 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

12 **(False Entries in Hospital/Patient Records)**

13 20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section,
14 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined by Code section
15 2762, subdivision (e), in that on or about and between February 15, 2007 and February 16, 2007,
16 while on duty as a registered nurse in at Huntington Beach Hospital, Huntington Beach,
17 California, Respondent falsified, or made grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible
18 ///

19
20 2. "Pyxis" is a trade name for the automatic single-unit dose medication dispensing system
21 that records information such as patient name, physician orders, date and time medication was
22 withdrawn, and the name of the licensed individual who withdrew and administered the
23 medication. Each user/operator is given a user identification code to operate the control panel.
24 Sometimes only portions of the withdrawn narcotics are given to the patient. The portions not
25 given to the patient are referred to as "wastage." This waste must be witnessed by another
26 authorized user and is also recorded by the Pyxis machine.

27 3. AcuDose Rx System is a computer based medication system provided by McKesson.
28 The AcuDose system, according to the McKesson literature, "enables hospitals to securely
store, accurately capture charges for all medications dispensed, and track all medications
administered by type, patient and caregiver. AcuDose-Rx cabinets form a medication
dispensing system that automates the secure storage and rapid dispensing of narcotics and other
medications in all patient care units, specialty care areas, outpatient clinics and in the operating
room. It offers the highest multi-dose, line-item secure medication storage capacity.

1 entries in hospital, patient, or other records pertaining to the controlled substances Dilaudid,
2 Morphine, Midazolam, Lorazepam, Meperidine, and Norco, as follows:

3 Huntington Beach Hospital

4 Patient A:

5 a. On February 15, 2007, at 1932 hours and at 1958 hours, Respondent
6 withdrew Hydromorphone (Dilaudid) 2 mg/ml injection, each time from AcuDose-Rx. The
7 signature on the written physician order for Dilaudid 2 mg, and the entry that indicates that
8 Dilaudid 2 mg was administered, are illegible and Dr. K did not initiate an order for Dilaudid for
9 this patient. On February 16, 2007, at 0230 hours, Respondent wasted 2 mg Hydromorphone;
10 however, there is no witness to the wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2-4 mg.

11 Patient B:

12 b. On February 16, 2007, at 0225 hours, Respondent withdrew
13 Hydromorphone (Dilaudid) 2 mg/ml injection from AcuDose-Rx. The physician order shows
14 Dilaudid 2 mg. was ordered at 0310 hours, which is approximately 45 minutes *after* it was
15 removed by Respondent. Dr. K. stated he did not write the order for Dilaudid. There is a
16 discrepancy of Dilaudid 2 mg.

17 Patient C:

18 c. On February 16, 2007, at 0425 hours, Respondent withdrew
19 Hydromorphone (Dilaudid) 2 mg/ml injection from AcuDose-Rx without a physician order.
20 There is no indication in the MAR that the medication was administered, nor is there any record
21 of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2 mg.

22 Patient D:

23 d. On February 15, 2007, at 2015 hours, Respondent withdrew
24 Hydromorphone (Dilaudid) 1 mg/ml Syringe from AcuDose-Rx. On February 15, 2007, at 2134
25 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 2 mg/ml inj from AcuDose-Rx. On February 15,
26 2007, at 0129 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 2 mg inj, from AcuDose-Rx. There
27 is no documentation in the MAR that the medication was administered nor is there any record of
28 wastage. There is a discrepancy of 5mg of Dilaudid.

1 There is a physician order by Dr. K. for Dilaudid 1 mg IM and the Acudose-Rx
2 report indicated that Nurse R.C. removed Hydromorphone 1 mg at 2015 hours and that the
3 medication was administered to the patient by Nurse R.C.

4 Patient E:

5 e. On February 16, 2007, at 0649 hours, Respondent withdrew
6 Hydromorphone (Dilaudid) 2 mg/ml from AcuDose-Rx without a physician order. There is no
7 documentation in the MAR that Dilaudid was administered to the patient, nor is there any record
8 of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2 mg.

9 Patient G:

10 f. On February 15, 2007, at 1900 hours, and on February 16, 2007, at 0403
11 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 2 mg/ml from AcuDose-Rx. There is a written
12 order for Dilaudid IM at what appears to be 1955 hours. The dosage is difficult to read. There is
13 an entry indicating that Dilaudid 1 mg was administered. The time is illegible. There is no
14 documentation to indicate that more than 1 mg was administered and there is no documentation
15 to indicate that any of the medication was wasted. Dr. K stated he did not write an order for
16 Dilaudid for this patient. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 3 to 4 mg.

17 St. Jude Medical Center

18 Patient 1:

19 g. On March 4, 2007, at 1929 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone
20 (Dilaudid) 2 mg from Pyxis. The physician order indicates an entry at 1500 for Dilaudid 2mg
21 IM. The order appeared to be written by Respondent, not the physician. There is no indication
22 that this was a verbal order of a physician, nor is there physician co-signature. There is no
23 indication on the MAR that the medication was administered, nor is there any documentation to
24 indicate that the medication was wasted. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2 mg.

25 Patient 2:

26 h. On March 4, 2007, at 1947 hours, 2101 hours, and 2251 hours,
27 Respondent withdrew three doses of Dilaudid 2 mg (6 mg total) from Pyxis. There is a
28 physician order at 1615 hours for Dilaudid 1 mg with documentation on the MAR that this dose

1 was withdrawn and administered by another nurse. There is another physician order for
2 Dilaudid at 1814 hours that appears to be altered from 1 mg to 2 mg. There is a physician order
3 at 2120 hours for regular diet under which, in different penmanship and almost illegibly written,
4 is an order that appears to be for Dilaudid 2 mg. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2 mg.

5 Patient 3:

6 i. On March 4, 2007, at 2250 hours, and on March 5, 2007, at 0008 hours,
7 Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg. from Pyxis. The MAR indicates that Respondent
8 administered 1 mg of Dilaudid at 2200 hours and there is no record of wastage. There is a
9 discrepancy of Dilaudid 3 mg.

10 Mission Hospital

11 Patient 2:

12 j. On March 7, 2007, at 2001 hours and 2020 hours, Respondent withdrew
13 Hydromorphone (Dilaudid) 2 mg from Pyxis. On March 7, 2007, at 2249 hours, Respondent
14 wasted Hydromorphone 1 mg. The MAR for May 7, 2007, shows that Respondent administered
15 Dilaudid 1 mg. at 2000 hours, Dilaudid 1 mg at 2025 hours, and Dilaudid 1 mg at 2050 hours.
16 There is a discrepancy of Hydromorphone (Dilaudid) 1 mg.

17 Patient 3:

18 k. On May 7, 2007, at 2229 hours and at 2229 hours, Respondent withdrew
19 Morphine 10 mg each time from Pyxis without a physician order. On May 7, 2007, at 2344
20 hours, Respondent wasted Morphine 10 mg. There is no record on the MAR that the remaining
21 medication was administered. There is a discrepancy of Morphine 10 mg.

22 Patient 4:

23 l. On May 7, 2007, at 2359 hours, Respondent withdrew Morphine 2 mg
24 from Pyxis. The MAR indicates that Respondent administered the medication at 2230 hours,
25 nearly 90 minutes before it was withdrawn. While there is no discrepancy since all the
26 Morphine is accounted for, there is an error in documenting.

27 ///

28 ///

1 Patient 5:

2 m. On May 22, 2007, at 2021 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone
3 1 mg from Pyxis. There are entries on the patient's MAR by other nurses of the administration
4 of Dilaudid. Nurse C.V. administered Dilaudid 1 mg on May 22, 2007, at 0100 hrs. and again at
5 0615 hours. It is unclear whose signature appears indicating 1 mg Dilaudid IV was administered
6 on May 22, 2007, at 0340 hours, 1518 hours, 1637, 1810 and 2044 hours. Although the Pyxis
7 reports Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 1 mg, Respondent was not scheduled to working these
8 shifts and there does not appear to be any MAR entries by Respondent. There is a discrepancy
9 of 1 mg Dilaudid.

10 Patient 6:

11 n. On May 22, 2007, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 1 mg from
12 Pyxis without a physician order. There is no MAR entry by Respondent and no indication that
13 the medication was wasted. There is a discrepancy of Hydromorphone 1 mg.

14 Patient 10:

15 o. On June 3, 2007, at 0037 hours and again on 0052 hours, Respondent
16 withdrew Hydromorphone 2 mg. from Pyxis. There is a physician order for Hydromorphone
17 1 mg every 20 minutes PRN pain, written at 0018 hours on June 3, 2007. The MAR indicates
18 that Respondent administered Hydromorphone 2 mg at 2032 hours and at 2125 hours. There is
19 no discrepancy in the amount of medication withdrawn and administered, but there is some
20 irregularity in the amount of medication given.

21 Patient 11:

22 p. On June 3, 2007, at 2038 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 2
23 mg from Pyxis without a physician order. There is no documentation on the MAR that
24 Respondent administered the medication, nor is there any documentation of wastage. There is a
25 discrepancy of Hydromorphone 2 mg.

26 Patient 12:

27 q. On June 3, 2007, at 0149 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 2
28 mg from Pyxis. The MAR indicates that On June 3, 2007, at 0149 hours, Respondent

1 administered Dilaudid 2 mg, at 0230 hours Dilaudid 1 mg, and at 0300 hours Dilaudid 1 mg, for
2 a total of 4 mg. There is a discrepancy of 2 mg Hydromorphone.

3 Patient 14:

4 r. On June 20, 2007, at 2120 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 2
5 mg. from Pyxis, and at 2141 hours, wasted Hydromorphone 3 mg. The MAR indicates that on
6 June 20, 2007, at 2115 hours, Respondent administered Dilaudid 1 mg, and that at 2250 hours,
7 Nurse K.L. administered 1 Dilaudid 1 mg. (Hydromorphone only comes in 2 mg vials, yet
8 Respondent wasted 3 mg.) There is a discrepancy of Hydromorphone 3 mg.

9 Patient 16:

10 s. On June 21, 2007, at 0036 hours, Respondent withdrew Meperidine 25 mg
11 injectable from Pyxis without a physician order. On June 21, 2007, at 0106 hours, Respondent
12 withdrew Hydromorphone 1 mg and at 0106 hours wasted Hydromorphone 0.5 mg. The MAR
13 does not indicate that Meperidine nor Hydromorphone were administered. There is a
14 discrepancy of Meperidine 25 mg and Hydromorphone 0.5.

15 Patient 17:

16 t. On June 21, 2007, at 0132 hours, Respondent withdrew Lorazepam
17 (Ativan) 2 mg/ml vial from Pyxis. The MAR does not show that Respondent administered
18 Lorazepam (Ativan). There is a discrepancy of Ativan 2 mg.

19 Patient 20:

20 u. On June 23, 2007, at 2025 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone
21 PCA 25 mg/25 ml from Pyxis, and at 2136 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 1 mg.
22 On June 24, 2007, at 0124 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone PCS 25 mg/25 ml. At
23 0401 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 1 mg, and at 0417 hours, Respondent
24 withdrew Temazepam 15 mg. The MAR indicates that on June 23, 2007, at 2317 hours,
25 Respondent administered Hydromorphone 1 mg, and on June 24, 2007, at 0416 hours,
26 Respondent administered Temazepam 15 mg. There is a discrepancy of Hydromorphone 51 mg.

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Patient 22:

v. On June 25, 2007, at 0036 hours, 0112 hours, 0129 hours, 0159 hours, and 0304 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 2 mg each time, for a total of 10 mg Hydromorphone, from Pyxis. On June 25, 2007, at 0333 hours, in a series of transactions, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 2 mg x 4, for a total of 8 mg, from Pyxis. At 0333 hours, in a series of transactions, Respondent wasted Hydromorphone 1 mg x 4, for a total of 4 mg. Then again at 0334, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 2 mg, and at 0612 hours, wasted 1 mg Hydromorphone. At 0456 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 1 mg and at 0612 hours, wasted Hydromorphone 1 mg, for a total of 21 mg of Hydromorphone withdrawn and 5 mg wasted.

On June 25, 2007, at 0147 hours, and at 0304 hours, Respondent withdrew from Midazolam 5 mg from Pyxis. At 0335 hours, Respondent wasted Midazolam 4 mg. At 0457 hours, and at 0612 hours, Respondent withdrew Midazolam 5 mg, for a total of 20 Midazolam withdrawn and 4 mg wasted. The MAR indicates that on June 25, 2007, at 0330 hours, Respondent administered 2 mg. Midazolam. There is a total discrepancy of Midazolam 14 mg, and 16 mg Hydromorphone.

Patient 24:

w. On June 27, 2007, at 2146 hours, Respondent withdrew Lorazepam 1 mg, at 2238 hours Hydromorphone 2 mg, and at 2245 hours Lorazepam 2 mg from Pyxis. The MAR indicates that on June 27, 2007, at 2140 hours and again at 2220 hours, Respondent administered Ativan 1 mg. There is a discrepancy of Ativan 1 mg and Hydromorphone 2 mg.

Patient 25:

x. On June 27, 2007, at 2314 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 2 mg from Pyxis. The MAR indicates that on June 27, 2007, at 2315 hours, Respondent administered Dilaudid 1 mg. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 1 mg.

///
///
///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Patient 27:

y. On June 28, 2007, at 0106 hours, Respondent withdrew Lortab Liquid (Hydrocodone 5mg) 10 ml. The MAR indicates Respondent administered Lortab 5 cc PO 1 mg. There is no record of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Lortab liquid 5 ml.

Patient 28:

z. On June 28, 2007, at 0152 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 2 mg and at 0155, Respondent withdrew Norco 5 mg 1 tabs. from Pyxis. On June 28, 2007, at 0239:hours, Respondent wasted 2 mg. Hydromorphone. The MAR indicates that on June 28, 2007, time illegible, Respondent administered 2 tabs Lortab. There is a discrepancy of 1 tab (5 mg) Norco (Lortab).

Patient 30:

aa. On June 28, 2007, at 2146 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 2 mg. from Pyxis. The MAR indicates that on June 27, 2007, at 2230 hours, Respondent documented administering Dilaudid 0.5 mg. Not only was there no Physician Order for Hydromorphone, but there is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 1.5 mg.

Patient 31:

bb. On June 28, 2007, at 2146 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone 2 mg from Pyxis. The MAR indicates that on June 28, 2007, at 2350 hours, Respondent administered 2.5 mg Dilaudid. Respondent documented administering more Hydromorphone than he withdrew.

Patient 32:

cc. On July 1, 2007, at 1950 hours, Respondent withdrew Morphine PCA 125 mg/25 ml from Pixys without a physician order. On July 2, 2007, at 0034 hours, Respondent wasted Morphine PCS 125mg/25ml. On July 2, 2007, at 0217 hours, and at 0518 hours, Respondent withdrew Morphine PCA 125 mg/25 ml without a physician order. There is no record of Morphine having been administered nor or wasted by Respondent. There is a discrepancy of 250 mg Morphine.

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Patient 33:

dd. On July 1, 2007, at 2207 hours, Respondent withdrew Morphine injectable 2 mg from Pyxis. On July 1, 2007, at 2319 hours, Respondent wasted 1 mg Morphine. On July 2, 2007, at 0343 hours, Respondent withdrew Morphine injectable 2 mg and wasted Morphine 1 mg at 0517 hours. The MAR indicates that on July 1, 2007, at 0345 hours, Respondent administered Morphine 1 mg. There is a discrepancy of Morphine 1 mg.

Patient 34:

ee. On July 2, 2007, at 2019 hours, Respondent withdrew Morphine PCA 125 mg/ml from Pyxis. At 2127 hours, Respondent withdrew Morphine injectable 2 mg and at 2324 hours, Respondent wasted Morphine PCA 125 mg/25ml. On July 3, 2007, at 0038 hours, Respondent withdrew Methadone injectable 10 mg./ml syringe. At 0130 hours, Respondent wasted Morphine injectable 2 mg. The MAR indicates that on July 2, 2007, at 2125 hours, Respondent administered Morphine 1 mg. The MAR indicates that on July 3, 2007, at 0000 hours, Respondent administered Methadone 10 mg. There is a discrepancy of Morphine 2 mg.

Patient 36:

ff. On July 3, 2007, at 0411 hours, Respondent withdrew Hydromorphone PCA 25 mg from Pyxis. On July 30, 2007, at 0450 hours, Respondent "canceled" for Hydromorphone PCA 25 mg. At 0625 hours, Respondent wasted Hydromorphone 25 mg. There is no MAR entry for Hydromorphone. While there is no discrepancy of Hydromorphone, Respondent was not assigned to this patient.

Patient 37:

gg. On June 30, 2007, at 0111 hours, Respondent withdrew Lorazepam 2 mg from Pyxis and wasted Lorazepam 1 mg. At 0438 hours, Respondent withdrew 2 mg Lorazepam and wasted 1 mg at 0708 hours. The MAR indicates that on June 27, 2007, at 0114 hours, Respondent administered Lorazepam 1 mg, then indicated that on June 27, 2007, Respondent "undocumented" Lorazepam 1 mg, as if he never gave it to the patient. There is a discrepancy of Lorazepam 2 mg.

///

1 St. Joseph's Hospital

2 Patient 1:

3 hh. On September 17, 2007, Respondent withdrew Morphine Sulfate
4 Injectable 5 mg at 2055 hours, 2133 hours and 2135 hours, for a total of 15 mg from Pyxis. The
5 MAR indicates Respondent administered Morphine Sulfate 5 mg. at 2055 hours. Pyxis indicates
6 that Respondent witnessed wastage of Morphine Sulfate 2 mg of RN K.Z. on September 18,
7 2007, at 0006 hours, and that this wastage was part of the Morphine Sulfate 5 mg that
8 Respondent withdrew on September 17, 2007, at 2055 hours. There is a discrepancy of
9 Morphine 8 mg.

10 Patient 2:

11 ii. On September 17, 2007, at 1908 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2
12 mg from Pyxis. The MAR indicates Respondent administered Dilaudid 1 mg at 1915 hours, and
13 there is no record of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 1 mg.

14 Patient 3:

15 jj. On September 17, 2007, at 2133 hours, Respondent withdrew Morphine
16 Sulfate 8 mg from Pyxis. The MAR indicates that on September 17, 2007, at 2130 hours,
17 Respondent administered Morphine 6 mg, and there is no record of wastage. There is a
18 discrepancy of Morphine Sulfate 2 mg.

19 Patient 4:

20 kk. On September 17, 2007, at 1932 hours, Respondent withdrew
21 Hydromorphone 2 mg from Pyxis without a physician order. There is no documentation in the
22 MAR to indicate it was administered and there is no documentation of wastage. There is a
23 discrepancy of Dilaudid 2 mg.

24 Patient 5:

25 ll. On September 16, 2007, at 2144 hours and at 2211 hours, and on
26 September 17, 2007, at 0039 hours and 0047 hours, Respondent withdrew one ampule Dilaudid
27 2 mg/ml injectable each time, and that on September 17, 2007, at 0039 hours, 1 mg was wasted.
28 The MAR indicates that Respondent administered Dilaudid 1 mg on September 16, 2007, at

1 2230 hours and 2242 hours, and on September 17, 2007, at 0050 hours, and that Respondent
2 administered 0.5 mg. at 0130 hours. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 3.5 mg.

3 Patient 6:

4 mm. On September 16, 2007, at 0052 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2
5 mg from Pyxis without a physician order. There is no documentation to indicate that it was
6 administered, and there is no documentation of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2
7 mg.

8 Patient 7:

9 mn. On September 16, 2007, at 2208 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2
10 mg from Pyxis without a physician order. There is no documentation to indicate that it was
11 administered, and there is no documentation of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2
12 mg.

13 Patient 8:

14 oo. On September 16, 2007, at 2211 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2
15 mg from Pyxis without a physician order. There is no documentation to indicate that it was
16 administered, and there is no documentation of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2
17 mg.

18 Patient 9:

19 pp. On September 17, 2007, at 0055 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2
20 mg from Pyxis without a physician order. There is no documentation to indicate that it was
21 administered, and there is no documentation of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2
22 mg.

23 Patient 10:

24 qq. On September 16, 2007, at 1909 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2
25 mg from Pyxis without a physician order. On September 16, 2007, at 1920 hours, Respondent
26 withdrew from Pyxis Zofran 4 mg without a physician order. The MAR indicates that Zofran 4
27 mg was administered at 1900 (20 minutes before the Pyxis printout indicates it was withdrawn).
28 There is no documentation to indicate that the Dilaudid was administered, and there is no

1 documentation to indicate it was wasted. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2 mg. Zofran 4 mg.
2 was administered to the patient without a physician order.

3 Patient 11:

4 rr. On September 16, 2007, at 1921 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2
5 mg from Pyxis without a physician order. There is no documentation to indicate that it was
6 administered, and there is no documentation of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2
7 mg.

8 Patient 12:

9 ss. On September 16, 2007, at 1843 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2
10 mg from Pyxis without a physician order. There is no documentation to indicate that it was
11 administered, and there is no documentation of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2
12 mg.

13 Patient 13:

14 tt. On September 7, 2007, at 0536 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2
15 mg from Pyxis without a physician order. There is no documentation to indicate that it was
16 administered, and there is no documentation of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2
17 mg.

18 Patient 14:

19 uu. On September 7, 2007, at 0538 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2
20 mg from Pyxis without a physician order. There is no documentation to indicate that it was
21 administered, and there is no documentation of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2
22 mg.

23 Patient 15:

24 vv. On September 6, 2007, at 2339 hours, Respondent withdrew Norco 5-325
25 from Pyxis. The MAR indicates that Respondent administered the medication at 2330 hours
26 (nine minutes prior to when the Pyxis printout indicates it was withdrawn).

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Patient 16:

ww. On September 6, 2007, at 2038 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg from Pyxis without a physician order. There is no documentation to indicate that it was administered, and there is no documentation of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2 mg.

Patient 17:

xx. On September 6, 2007, at 2041 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg from Pyxis without a physician order. There is no documentation to indicate that it was administered, and there is no documentation of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2 mg.

Patient 18:

yy. On September 6, 2007, at 2042 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg from Pyxis without a physician order. There is no documentation to indicate that it was administered, and there is no documentation of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2 mg.

Patient 19:

zz. On September 17, 2007, at 0056 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg from Pyxis without a physician order. Pyxis indicates that at 0151 hours, it was Dilaudid 2 mg was "restocked" by Nurse E.V. Pyxis indicates that Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg. at 0231 hours and that at 0525 hours, he wasted Dilaudid 1 mg. The MAR indicates that on September 17, 2007, at 0434 hours, Respondent administered Dilaudid 5 mg. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 1 mg.

Patient 20:

aaa. On September 7, 2007, at 0536 hours, Respondent withdrew Dilaudid 2 mg. from Pyxis without a physician order. There is no documentation to indicate it was administered, nor is there any documentation of wastage. There is a discrepancy of Dilaudid 2 mg.

///

1 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Unprofessional Conduct - Prescribed, Administered or Furnished**
3 **Controlled Substance to Self Between February 15 and September 16, 2007)**

4 21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section
5 2762, subdivision (a) on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that between February 15,
6 2007 and September 16, 2007, while on duty as a registered nurse at four different hospitals,
7 prescribed, administered or furnished controlled substance and dangerous drugs to himself, in
8 violation of Health and Safety Code section 11170, as set forth in paragraphs 19 and 20 above.

9 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

10 **(Unprofessional Conduct -**
11 **Procured or Attempted to Procure Controlled Substances by Fraud, Deceit,**
12 **Misrepresentation or Subterfuge Between February 15 and September 16, 2007)**

13 22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section
14 2762, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that between February 15,
15 2007 and September 16, 2007, while on duty as a registered nurse at four different hospitals,
16 procured or attempted to procure controlled substances by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or
17 subterfuge, in violation of health and Safety Code section 11173(a), as set forth in paragraphs 19
18 and 20 above.

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 697019, issued to Donald Scott Paradise;
2. Ordering Donald Scott Paradise to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and
3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 4/7/09


RUTH ANN TERRY, M.P.H., R.N.
Executive Officer
Board of Registered Nursing
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant